UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,447	07/23/2003	Gaurav Mittal	004770.00491	7966
22907 7590 11/13/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			EXAMINER	
			WU, QING YUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				m			
	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	Advisory Action	10/625,447	MIŢTAL, GAURAV				
	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Qing-Yuan Wu	2194				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
	REPLY FILED <u>18 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
I. 🛛	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a)		-					
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because							
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. ∐ 5. □	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
3. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A before as as the date of filling at	-4:£ A	. 4 15 4 4			
з. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. ** REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER*							
1. [∑	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
_	<del></del>		1 -				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As being traversed in the Final Office Action dated 8/23/07, and further clarified herein, Gibbons teaches a Application Manager (hereafter AM) for managing downloading of Download Objects (hereafter DOs) and operations such as monitoring, recording and varifying the compatibility of the DOs with the Mobile Terminal (hereafter MT) device [paragraph 59]. Additionally, Gibbons teaches a Download Application (hereafter DA) in the MT in communication with the AM allowing user to initiate a request for a list of available DOs and passing the information to the AM for processing [paragraphs 75-76 and 80-81]. Gibbons neither refer to the AM or the DA alternatively or in combination as a browser nor does one of ordinary skill in the art would infer such applications as a browser. Such conclusion was further supported by applicant's current remark dated 10/18/07 in which "only mention of a browser is found in paragraph 128", more specifically, the browser was only mention as one of the many factors in determining the capabilities of the MT device and using such information in finding a matching DO [paragraph 79] and not as a tool for initiating a request. Therefore, the limitation of "initiating a request in a browser independent manner" have been satisfied.